



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/579,292	05/25/2000	Russell W. Bell	060705-1260	7226
75	90 08/13/2003			
Daniel R McClure Thomas Kayden Horstemeyer & Risley LLP 100 Galleria Parkway N W Suite 1750			EXAMINER	
			LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A	
Atlanta, GA 30339-5947			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	6
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/579,292	BELL, RUSSELL W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christian La Forgia	2131
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply reply received by the office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	<u>/lay 2003</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ince except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 May 2000</u> is/are: a)∑		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/579,292 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on 27 May 2003 is noted and made of the record.

2. Claims 1 through 22 are presented for examination.

Drawings

3. Applicant is reminded that the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes and that it is applicant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are corrected in accordance with the instructions set forth in Paper No. 4, mailed on 03 March 2003.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 through 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, the Applicant claims that a first computer wishes "to communicate with either a second computer within the computer network, or a wide area network." The Examiner believes this to be indefinite, as one of ordinary skill in the art understands there are several inherent differences in communicating with someone on the same local area network as opposed to communicating with someone on a wide area network.
- 7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

Application/Control Number: 09/579,292

Art Unit: 2131

invention. In claim 9, the Applicant claims, "a first slave computer located within one of the first LAN and the second LAN, and a second slave computer located within one of the first LAN and the second LAN." The Examiner does not understand whether the first slave computer is located within the first or second LAN, and likewise with the second slave computer. The Examiner requests for clarification pertaining to the aforementioned claim limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 9. Claims 1 through 14 and 16 through 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,567,405 to Borella et al., hereinafter Borella, in lieu of obviousness.
- 10. As per claim 1, Borella teaches a method of providing a software bridge/router within a small office, home office computer network comprising a series of computers, comprising the steps of:
- determining a media access control address of each of the series of computers within the computer network (Abstract; Figures 2 [block 44], 7, 8; column 2, line 51 to column 3, line 5; column 3, line 46 to column 4, line 8; column 5, lines 7-57; column 6, line 46-57);
- receiving a request from a first computer within the computer network, to communicate with either a second computer within the computer network, or a wide area network (WAN) (Figures 1 [block 12], 10 [block 142]; column 10, lines 25-45);
- 13. in response to the request being to communicate with the second computer, determining whether the media access control address of the second computer has previously been

Application/Control Number: 09/579,292 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

determined (column 10, line 59 to column 32); and,

- 14. if the media access control address of the second computer has previously been determined, providing communication between the first computer and the second computer (column 10, line 59 to column 11, line 32);
- in response to the request being to communicate with the WAN, performing a protocol conversion and providing communication between the first computer and the WAN (Figure 10 [block 144]; column 10, lines 31-58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Borella to use the MAC address of the devices instead of the IP address. One would be motivated to provide for such a function because it would be easier to map a 128-bit external IP address to a 48-bit MAC address, instead of a 128-bit internal IP address.
- 16. Regarding claim 2, Borella teaches wherein the computer network comprises at least a first local area network and a second local area network (column 3, lines 61 to column 4, line 8).
- 17. Regarding claim 3, Borella teaches wherein communication between the small office, home office network and the WAN is provided by at least one xDSL modem (Figure 1 [block 26]; column 3, line 46 to column 4, line 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made provide a DSL modem in the invention of Borella, as there are several DSL modems that provide for routing functions so small businesses and homes do not have to invest in costly routers to network their small offices or homes.

Application/Control Number: 09/579,292 Page 5

Art Unit: 2131

- 18. With regards to claim 4, Borella teaches wherein the connection to the wide area network is a digital subscriber line (Figure 1 [block 26]; column 3, line 46 to column 4, line 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made provide a digital subscriber line in the invention of Borella, as the need for DSL is more convenient for homes and offices if there are going to be several computers networked for internet access.
- 19. Regarding claim 5, Borella teaches wherein the step of determining a media access control address of each of the computers is performed by a first computer that then stores the media access control addresses within an address table (Figures 7, 8, 10, 11, 12; column 9, lines 15-51; column 10, lines 25-58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Borella to use the MAC address of the devices instead of the IP address. One would be motivated to provide for such a function because it would be easier to map a 128-bit external IP address to a 48-bit MAC address, instead of a 128-bit internal IP address.
- With regards to claim 6, Borella teaches wherein the first computer is the first computer within the computer network to locate a digital subscriber line at the initialization of the computer network (Figure 1 [block 26]; column 3, line 46 to column 4, line 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the first computer to find DSL the first computer, as the router provided by Borella would be the first and only computer to find the line as that what it was built for.

Art Unit: 2131

21. Concerning claim 7, Borella teaches wherein the first computer provides a bridge/route between the small office, home office, and a wide area network (column 3, line 61 to column 4, line 8).

- 22. Regarding claim 8, Borella teaches wherein the communication between the first computer and the second computer comprises the transmission of data (Figure 1; column 3, line 46 to column 4, line 8).
- 23. As per claim 9, Borella teaches a software bridge/router system for providing a logical connection between a first local area network (LAN), having a first series of computers therein, a second LAN, having a second series of computers therein, and a wide area network, wherein the first LAN and the second LAN are located within a small office, home office (SOHO) computer network, comprising:
- 24. a master computer which is capable of identifying all computers within the first LAN and the second LAN (Figure 1 [block 26]; column 3, line 46 to column 4, line 8); and
- a first slave computer located within one of the first LAN and the second LAN, and a second slave computer located within one of the first LAN and the second LAN (column 6, lines 46-65).
- 26. wherein the master computer provides for communication between the first slave computer and the second slave computer, and between the SOHO computer network and the wide area network (WAN) (column 3, line 61 to column 4, line 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide for a DHCP

Application/Control Number: 09/579,292

Art Unit: 2131

server as disclosed in Borella. One would be motivated to do include a DHCP server as it would make the best use of available IP addresses, therefore minimizing the number of static IP addresses needed by the network. The specification does not specify what exactly a slave computer is so the Examiner interpreted the slave computer as being a computer that provides a DHCP service.

- 27. Claim 10 is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 28. Concerning claim 11, Borella teaches wherein the master computer is determined during initiation of the first and second LANs, the master computer being a computer within the first LAN or the second LAN which first detects the digital connection (Figure 1 [block 26]; column 3, line 46 to column 4, line 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the first computer to find DSL the first computer, as the router provided by Borella would be the first and only computer to find the line as that what it was built for.
- With regards to claim 12, Borella teaches wherein all of the first series of computers and the second series of computers are identified by a media access control address (Figure 10 [block 144]; column 10, lines 31-58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Borella to use the MAC address of the devices instead of the IP address. One would be motivated to provide for such a function because it would be easier to map a 128-bit external IP address to a 48-bit MAC address, instead of a 128-bit internal IP address.

Application/Control Number: 09/579,292

Art Unit: 2131

- 30. Regarding claim 13, Borella teaches wherein each of the first series of computers and the second series of computers are capable of being the master computer (Figure 1 [block 26]; column 3, line 46 to column 4, line 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the first computer to find DSL the first computer, as the router provided by Borella would be the first and only computer to find the line as that what it was built for.
- 31. With regards to claim 14, Borella teaches wherein computers within the first series of computers are capable of communicating with other computers within the first series of computers without the assistance of the master computer, and the second series of computers are capable of communicating with other computers within the second series of computers without the assistance of the master computer (Figures 9, 10; column 3, line 61 to column 4, line 8; column 10, lines 47-58).
- 32. Concerning claim 15, Borella teaches wherein a refresh cycle is performed periodically to determine whether the master computer has ceased to function, the refresh cycle resulting in determination of a new master computer if the master computer has ceased to function (column 10, lines 25-58).
- 33. As per claim 16, Borella teaches a system for providing a software bridge/router within a small office, home office computer network comprising a series of computers, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/579,292

Art Unit: 2131

- a means for determining a media access control address of each of the series of computers within the computer network (Abstract; Figures 2 [block 44], 7, 8; column 2, line 51 to column 3, line 5; column 3, line 46 to column 4, line 8; column 5, lines 7-57; column 6, line 46-57);
- a means for receiving a request from a first computer within the computer network, to communicate with either a second computer within the computer network, or a wide area network (WAN) (Figures 1 [block 12], 10 [block 142]; column 10, lines 25-45);
- 36. a means for determining whether the media access control address of the second computer has previously been determined (Figures 1 [block 12], 10 [block 142]; column 10, lines 25-45); and
- 37. a means for providing a communication between the first computer and the second computer (Figure 1 [block 12]; column 3, line 46 to column 4, line 8);
- a means for performing a protocol conversion and providing communication between the first computer and the WAN (Figure 10 [block 144]; column 10, lines 31-58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Borella to use the MAC address of the devices instead of the IP address. One would be motivated to provide for such a function because it would be easier to map a 128-bit external IP address to a 48-bit MAC address, instead of a 128-bit internal IP address.
- 39. Claim 17 is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

Application/Control Number: 09/579,292

Art Unit: 2131

40. With regards to claim 18, Borella teaches wherein the software bridge/router provides a

bridge/router between the first local area network and the second local area network, and

between the computer network and a wide area network (column 3, lines 61 to column 4, line 8).

41. Concerning claim 19, Borella teaches wherein the computer network comprising a single

local area network (Figure 1 [block 12]; column 3, line 46 to column 4, line 8).

42. Claims 20 through 22 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

Conclusion

43. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christian La Forgia whose telephone number is (703) 305-7704.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7-5.

44. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7240 for regular

communications and (703) 746-7239 for After Final communications.

45. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Christian La Forgia Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2131

clf

August 10, 2003

Jyzonko

Page 10

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100